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Dear Mr. Dick:

I am a high school mathematics teacher who is looking for innovative ways to improve my teaching and public education in general.

I am hoping that either you or one of your members may have an interest in this area. If you do not, could you possibly let other members or friends know of my interest. Though I would be interested in general viewpoints!

I enjoy the various books that members of high-IQ societies write (such as for MENSA), but since no solutions are ever included, only answers, one cannot learn how people with exceptional intelligence approach puzzles or life's real problems. Thus, it would be very helpful if some members would provide some insights on how they approach a problem.

On one magazine once published a test entitled "The World's Hardest IQ Test." Has anyone published or written the solutions (and/or answers) to this test? How the most intelligent people approach such problems would be of help. Any material, published or unpublished, that presents solutions or gives insights as to how intelligent people approach problems would be of great help.

I certainly would also be interested in your thoughts on the education of gifted students. Because of budget cuts, talented and gifted programs are being either cut or underfunded.

I realize you must be very busy, but I hope you may be able to help in some way even if only to let other members and friends know of my interest. If we do not improve public education, all will suffer the consequences of an unenlightened populace. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harold Baker

---

Star Anagrams

By Dan Barker

When I was a child, I used to spend many hours with my grandfather Dutch, playing math and word games. We mailed countless letters back and forth, trying to stump each other with original challenges.

When I was about ten years old I invented the word square. I would send a word (such as SMART) to Dutch, challenging him to find a square of interlocking words like this:

SMART
MINER
ANGLE
RELAY
TREYS

The longer the word, the harder the puzzle. Dutch and I spent years playing word games like this. I later learned that I was not the first to invent word squares after all, and I think Dutch knew this. He must have been aware of the fact that squares like this have been manufactured for at least a century.

I am still playing with words, still challenging Dutch to solve this or that puzzle, even though Dutch died about 15 years ago. One of my favorite obsessions is anagrams.

I have done so many anagrams over the years that the process has become spontaneous, almost automatic. It's as if there were a subroutine that is always running, searching for combinations, just below the immediate consciousness.

When I learn someone's name I sometimes pop out with an anagram on the spot, surprising myself as well as my new friend. When I am reading a book, certain words will stop me, as if Dutch were challenging me, and almost invariably an anagram comes quickly. I sometimes wonder if this subconscious subroutine (if that's what it is) already sees the answer, or strongly suspects that a certain combination of letters can be anagrammed, and then signals that there is something there.
Some anagrams are less interesting than others. Many are trivial and unimpressive. NUCLEAR becomes UNCLEAR simply by swapping two letters. MARITAL becomes MERTIAL the same way. SPEAR becomes PEARs by moving the first letter to the end (which can also be thought of as “rotating” the word). INFIDEL becomes INFIELD by moving the D to the end. There are thousands of simple anagrams like this, and they are terribly boring.

However, even with trivial anagrams, certain games can be played. Notice this example:

- GARDEN →
- GANDER →
- DANGER →
- RANGED →

Each step in this example was made by swapping two letters. I wonder what is the longest string of anagrams that can be produced in this manner.

In a quest to find the most interesting anagrams, I have invented a concept: “Star Anagram.” As far as I know, I came up with this idea, but I wouldn’t be surprised if I’m not the first. (For the time being, I will own the “patent” on this concept. Send me two cents every time you use it.)

A Star Anagram is an anagram where each letter loses its original neighbors. The words are totally rearranged.

For example: BRIEF → FIBER. No letter has the same neighbor, either before or after itself. The shuffling is complete.

You have to hop over letters to avoid hitting the neighbors. With five-letter anagrams (five is the minimum for this to work), there is always a regular hopping, forward or backward, to obtain the next word. I started viewing the words as an infinite chain of letters. The word FIBER is produced by hopping backwards from the last letter of the word BRIEF.

BRIEF → FIBER → FIELD

With five letters, it is always the same pattern, forward or backward, hopping over one letter, like jumping checkers. Going the other way, from FIBER to BRIEF, hops in a forward direction, of course.

FIBER → FIELD

Using this system, the first and last letters of the word are neighbors. To simplify things, the word can be put into a circle, and notice what happens:

B R E
F I

The path between words forms a star! With five letters, the shape is always a pentagram (assuming that you close the figure). Other pentagrams are:

WEIRD → WIDER
RESET → STEER
GENRE → GREEN
DREAM → ARMED

Six-letter anagrams form a strange star:

A R T

Look at NECTAR → CANTER:

D E E D E D

This is an unusual “star,” but it is symmetric. It is the only six-point star path. An interesting six-letter example is LISTEN → TINSEL → ENLIST:

Since LISTEN and ENLIST are merely rototrans, they both can be reached from TINSEL on the same path.

(As an aside, SILENT and INLETS are also anagrams of TINSEL, though not stars. If there were an anagram of these six letters beginning with N, we would have six anagrams, each beginning with a different letter. Another game: find the longest set of unique letters, each of which is the first letter of an anagram of those letters. Interestingly, the word STAR does this: STAR → TSAR (or TARS) → ARTS → RATS.)

With words that have repeated letters, such as RESET and GENRE, you have to specify which letter you are using on the path. The star makes this easy.

This raises a paradox. Look at DEEDED:

D E E D E D

DEEDED is an anagram of itself! (Start at the lower D.) I will leave it to the reader to decide the philosophical question of whether or not DEEDED → DEEDED is truly an anagram.

Seven-letter stars can take more than one path. I have found four symmetric seven-letter “stars,” but I have yet to find anagrams that take a “perfect” path, regularly hopping over the same number of letters. A seven-letter single-hop pattern, like the pentagram, produces this star:

A seven-letter double-hop produces this “perfect” star:

A A A

My favorite seven-letter star is SENSED. TREASON, but it is my favorite for meaning rather than shape. Also, it has no repeated letters and forms words that do not end with a plural S.

If you rotate this “star” one letter clockwise (putting the R on top) you can see that it is symmetric. Some other seven-letter stars are:

- REACTED → CREATED
- LETTERS → TRESTLE
- BASTION → OBTAINS
- SCALPER → PARCELS

REACTED → CREATED is interesting. At face value it is trivial, produced simply by moving one letter. But, if you swap the two E’s as well, you have a star!

The seven letters in PARITIES actually form three sets of star anagrams:
Contest

I will mail a free book to the person who sends the largest star anagram (longest word). In case of a tie, symmetric wins over irregular, and “perfect” wins over symmetric. If there is still a tie, I will send more than one book.

If you send your entry before June 1, 1994, with your choice of one of these books:

- *Just Pretend: A Freethought Book For Children* by Dan Barker, $10, FFRF, Inc. Compares God, Santa Claus (6–12 years).

Paradise Remembered: A Lenape Indian Childhood, and other stories by Herbert Barker, Sr. (1895–1986), edited by Dan Barker, $10. This has nothing to do with religion or philosophy. A collection of my Grandfather’s stories of life as a Lenape (Delaware) child in Indian Territory (northern Oklahoma) at the turn of the century. Brief history of the tribe’s seven migrations from the Delaware River to Oklahoma. Many photographs.

Dan Barker, PO Box 429, Madison WI 53701. (608) 233-8223

Different path. Same shape as SENATOR → TREASON. (I won a Tough Cryptics magazine contest last year with the clue entry “Marauders crash parties.” Not a star, but interesting.)

I don’t have any stars longer than seven letters. I do have a seven-letter “self-star” (like DEEDED → DEEDED): DREADED → DREADED. Try it.

A word of caution. If you do these in your head, you might think you have found a star that is not truly a star. Look at:

TRIANGLE → INTEGRAL.

If you begin with the 1 of TRIANGLE and spell INTEGRAL, it looks like you have avoided the neighbors. (Try it.) However, don’t forget that the first and last letters (T and E) are neighbors. Putting the word into a circle makes it obvious.

The Presocratic Philosophers

By Richard W. May

The Milesian (Ionian) Philosophers

The first individual in Western history accorded the status of philosopher (so designated by Aristotle) was Thales of Miletus (c. 624–546 B.C.). Thales apparently wrote nothing, or at least none of his writings, if any, have survived. For this reason he remains largely a legendary figure about whom little is known. Thales was a philosopher of nature or cosmologist in the original sense of the word, i.e., someone who studied the universe considered as an ordered system (cosmos) as opposed to a chaos. He is believed to have influenced his successors, Anaximander and Anaximenes, who appeared in that order chronologically. Thales believed that the original matter (arche) of the universe was water of which all else was composed. He was skillful in applied mathematics, was considered to be one of the Seven Wise Men of Greece and was known in legend as the absent-minded philosopher who fell down a well.

Thales and the other Milesian philosophers subscribed to the theory of hylomorphism, which is defined by the Dictionary of Philosophy, by Peter A. Angeles, as follows:

hylomorphism (Gk. hyle, “matter,” and soma, “life”). 1. The theory that all matter possesses some degree of life qualities and all life possesses a material basis. Matter and life are inseparable (except in abstraction). 2. The theory that the universe is everywhere alive; all matter is innately life-active. Reality can be best understood as a self-sustaining, living organism. Hence Thales made claims such as “All things are full of gods.”

Only one sentence of Anaximander’s (c. 610–545 B.C.) writings survives and it has been subject to various interpretations. However references to Anaximander’s works have survived in the writings of other ancients, so more is known of his thought than that of Thales. The first map is credited to Anaximander.

Apparantly Anaximander held that the fundamental stuff of which the cosmos was composed was not a form of matter that occurs in nature such as water, but an unbounded or limitless form of being itself (apeiron).

The one surviving fragment from his works is translated as follows: “Into that from which things take their rise they pass away once more, as is ordained, for they make reparation and satisfaction to one another for their injustices according to the ordering of time.” According to Russell this quotation embodies “This conception of justice—of not overstepping eternally fixed bounds”—justice to which even the gods were subject as much as men, and